

RatingsDirect®

Transaction Update: Bankia S.A. (Mortgage Covered Bonds)

Primary Credit Analyst:

Marta Escutia, Madrid + 34 91 788 7225; marta.escutia@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Maria Luisa Gomez Grande, Madrid (34) 91-788-7208; marisa.gomez@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Major Rating Factors

Outlook

Rationale

Program Description

Rating Analysis

Related Criteria

Related Research

Transaction Update: Bankia S.A. (Mortgage Covered Bonds)

Ratings Detail

Reference Rating Level	a-	+	Jurisdiction-Supported Rating Level	a	+	Maximum Achievable Covered Bond Rating	aa	=	Covered Bond Rating	
Resolution Regime Uplift	+2		Assigned Jurisdictional Support Uplift	1		Collateral Support Uplift	+3		AA/Stable	
Systemic Importance	Very Strong		Jurisdictional Support Assessment	Very Strong		Overcollateralization Adjustment	-1		Rating Constraints	aa+
Resolution Counterparty Rating	BBB+		Legal Framework	Very Strong		Liquidity Adjustment	0		Counterparty Risk	aaa
Issuer Credit Rating	BBB		Systemic Importance	Very Strong		Potential Collateral Based Uplift	+4		Country Risk	aa+
			Sovereign Credit Capacity	Very Strong						

Major Rating Factors

Strengths

- Available credit enhancement that is well above the target credit enhancement required to achieve a 'AA' rating.
- Prudent underwriting and servicing standards since the restructuring process began.

Weaknesses

- Although decreasing, there is still a relatively high percentage of restructured loans, which we consider to have a higher probability of default.
- The balance of defaulted loans in the non-residential pool is still high, albeit reducing.
- The real estate market shows an increasing trend in overvaluation.

Outlook

S&P Global Ratings' stable outlook on its credit ratings on the mortgage covered bonds ("cédulas hipotecarias" or CHs) issued by Spain-based Bankia S.A. (BBB/Stable/A-2; Bankia) reflects the stable outlook on the long-term sovereign credit ratings on Spain (unsolicited; A/Stable/A-1). This means that, all else being equal, a one-notch rating action on

the sovereign would result in a similar rating action on the covered bonds.

Rationale

We are publishing this transaction update as part of our periodic review of Bankia's mortgage covered bond program.

In our analysis, we assume the issuer's insolvency and look to the resolution regime, the jurisdictional support, and the cover pool to repay the covered bonds. Our ratings reflect the likelihood of the covered bonds being repaid in a timely manner on their legal final maturity.

From our analysis of the legal and regulatory framework for covered bonds in Spain, we have concluded that the assets in Bankia's cover pool are isolated from the risk of a bankruptcy or insolvency of the issuer. This asset isolation allows us to assign a higher rating to the covered bond program than the long-term issuer credit rating (ICR) on Bankia (BBB/Stable/A-2).

Bankia is domiciled in Spain, which is subject to the EU's Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD). We assess the systemic importance of covered bonds in Spain as very strong, under our "Assessments For Jurisdictional Support According To Our Covered Bonds Criteria," published on Oct. 16, 2018. These factors increase the likelihood that Bankia would continue servicing its covered bonds without accessing the cover pool or receiving jurisdictional support, even following a bail-in of its senior unsecured obligations. Therefore, under our covered bonds criteria, we assess the rating reference level (RRL) as 'a-'.

We then consider the likelihood for the provision of jurisdictional support, which we assess as very strong for mortgage covered bonds in Spain. This could result in a potential uplift from the RRL of up to three notches under our covered bonds criteria. The support is capped at the long-term rating on the sovereign. Given that the RRL is 'a-' and the unsolicited long-term sovereign rating on Spain is 'A', the covered bonds benefit from one notch of jurisdictional-support uplift. Therefore, we assess a jurisdiction-supported rating level (JRL) at 'a', one notch above the RRL.

We have reviewed the stratified data asset information provided as of June 30, 2019 and the liabilities as of Sept. 30, 2019. The available credit enhancement in the program continues to support the four potential notches of collateral-based uplift above the JRL. However, we have deducted one notch from the potential uplift because there is no public commitment from the issuer to maintain a level of collateral that is commensurate with the current ratings on the covered bonds. As a result, the maximum potential rating on the program is 'aa' according to our covered bonds criteria.

There are currently no rating constraints for the covered bonds that relate to counterparty, legal, administrative, and operational risks.

We have based our analysis on the criteria articles referenced in the "Related Criteria" section.

Program Description

Table 1

Program Overview*	
Jurisdiction	Spain
Year of first issuance	2011
Covered bond type	Legislation-enabled
Outstanding covered bonds (bil. €)	26.01
Redemption profile	Hard bullet
Underlying assets	Residential and commercial mortgages
Jurisdictional support uplift	1
Unused notches for jurisdictional support	2
Target credit enhancement (%)	41.59
Available credit enhancement (%)	168.28
Collateral support uplift	3
Unused notches for collateral support	0
Total unused notches	2

*Based on portfolio data as of June 30, 2019 as reported by the issuer and liabilities as of Sept. 30, 2019.

Bankia is one of the major Spanish banks resulting from the merger of seven Spanish savings banks in May 2011. In December 2012 it transferred certain problematic assets to SAREB, the Spanish bad bank.

Bankia established its mortgage covered bond program in 1999 (as Caja Madrid at that time), and we first rated it in 2011 as Bankia and since 2008 as Caja Madrid.

The covered bonds are regulated by Spanish law, which requires, among other things, minimum overcollateralization of 25% over the eligible mortgage book. Moreover, if the issuer becomes insolvent, covered bond holders have preferential rights to the entire pool of mortgage assets assigned to the covered bonds as collateral.

Table 2

Program Participants			
Role	Name	Rating	Rating dependency
Issuer	Bankia S.A.	BBB/Stable/A-2	Yes
Arranger, bank account provider, loan originator, servicer, and paying agent	Bankia S.A.	BBB/Stable/A-2	No

Rating Analysis

Legal and regulatory risks

In our view, the Spanish covered bond framework sufficiently addresses the relevant legal aspects of our covered bonds criteria. This enables us to assign ratings to Spanish mortgage covered bonds (CHs) that exceed the long-term ICR on the issuer.

Spain's legal framework for covered bonds comprises seven main laws. Law 2/1981 (The Mortgage Market Law) and

its development into Law 716/2009 (Mortgage Market Regulation) restrict covered bond issuance to regulated financial institutions such as commercial and savings banks. There are five laws regarding issuer insolvency: Law 26/1988 (Discipline And Intervention of Credit Institutions), Law 22/2003 (Spanish Insolvency Act), Law 6/2005 (Reorganization And Winding-Up of Credit Institutions), Law 9/2012 (the Restructuring And Resolution of Credit Institutions Act) and Law 11/2015 (Recovery And Resolution Of Credit Institutions And Investment Firms).

CHs provide investors with primary recourse to the issuer, which is responsible for full and timely payments as long as it is not in default. If the issuer were to default, the CH holders would be privileged creditors with a claim on all non-securitized or unencumbered mortgage loans on the issuer's balance sheet.

Under Spanish law, the issuer must maintain overcollateralization of at least 25%, and only loans meeting certain requirements can be included in the overcollateralization calculation. Eligible loans must be, among other things, loans guaranteed by a first-ranking mortgage and have a loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of below 80% for residential mortgages (or 60% for commercial mortgages). In addition, under the law, an official appraiser must have valued the mortgaged property.

Our legal analysis is based on "Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology," published on March 29, 2017, and "Covered Bond Ratings Framework: Methodology And Assumptions," published on June 30, 2015.

Operational and administrative risks

Over the past few years, Bankia has completed a restructuring process agreed with the European authorities, which has involved the transfer of Bankia's real estate exposure to Spain's bad bank. The successful completion of the plan has benefitted Bankia's business stability, risk and funding profiles, and efficiency. Moreover, we believe that Bankia's management has been able to enhance the bank's risk oversight and accelerate the clean-up of its credit portfolio, which will benefit the bank's future credit performance.

The main pending action after the bank's restructuring relates to its privatization and the exit of the Fund for Orderly Bank Restructuring (FROB)--and ultimately of the Spanish government--from the shareholder base, which is now due by 2021 (see "Bankia S.A.," published on July 3, 2019).

We view Bankia's current underwriting criteria as prudent, although it may not have been so in the past, as shown by the high level of delinquencies and restructurings in Bankia's balance sheet during the crises.

In our opinion, there is no operational risk from the cover pool's management and loan origination that would constrain the covered bond rating to the same level as the long-term ICR.

Under the covered bonds law, if the bank becomes insolvent, an administrator will be appointed to manage the mortgage book. This administrator will be required to actively manage the cover pool assets and to ensure the timely payment of the covered bonds. We believe the issuer will find an administrator, given that the type of assets to be managed is common in Spanish financial institutions.

Our analysis of operational and administrative risks follows the principles laid out in our covered bond ratings framework criteria.

Resolution regime analysis

Bankia is domiciled in Spain, which is subject to the EU's BRRD. We assess the systemic importance for Spanish mortgage covered bonds as very strong (see "Assessments For Jurisdictional Support According To Our Covered Bonds Criteria," published on Oct. 16, 2018). Under our covered bonds criteria, this means the RRL will be the greater of (i) the ICR on the issuing bank, plus two notches; and (ii) the resolution counterparty rating (RCR) on the issuing bank, where applicable. As the ICR on Bankia is 'BBB' and the RCR is 'BBB+', the resulting RRL is 'a-', two notches above the ICR.

This uplift recognizes that resolution regimes like the BRRD increase the probability that an issuer could service its covered bonds even following a default on its senior unsecured obligations. This is because the law exempts covered bonds from bail-in risk if there is a bank resolution. We consider this as an internal form of support, because the bail-in of certain creditors of the issuer does not require direct government support. This increases the likelihood that Bankia would continue servicing its covered bonds without accessing the cover pool or receiving jurisdictional support, even if it were to face insolvency.

Jurisdictional support analysis

In our jurisdictional support analysis, we assess the likelihood that a covered bond program facing stress would receive support from a government-sponsored initiative instead of from the liquidation of collateral assets in the open market. Under our assessments for jurisdictional support under our criteria, the expected jurisdictional support for Spanish covered bonds is very strong. Under our covered bonds criteria, this means that the program can receive up to three notches of jurisdictional uplift above the RRL. However the jurisdictional support is capped at the long-term rating on the sovereign. As Bankia's RRL is 'a-' and the unsolicited long-term sovereign rating on Spain is 'A', the covered bonds benefit from one notch of jurisdictional-support uplift. Therefore, we assess a jurisdiction-supported rating level (JRL) at 'a', one notch above the RRL.

Collateral support analysis

We base our analysis on stratified mortgage book data as of June 30, 2019.

We analyze the credit quality of the portfolio by applying our European residential loans criteria, applying the specific assumptions for Spain, and our criteria for analyzing European commercial real estate collateral in covered bonds (see "Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of European Residential Loans," published on Aug. 4, 2017, and "Analyzing European Commercial Real Estate Collateral In European Covered Bonds," published on March 31, 2015).

For our analysis, we have excluded defaulted loans (more than one year past due), due to uncertainty regarding their future performance. We have also excluded mortgage loans backed by land, due to uncertainty regarding their valuation. In addition, we took loans granted to Bankia employees into account when adjusting the cover pool, due to the risk of these loans being set off if the issuer were to become insolvent. We also deduct a small volume of loans granted outside Spain as we do not have detailed information to perform our credit analysis on these loans. We therefore also reduced the corresponding collateral in our cash flow model, but included expected recoveries on defaulted assets.

We have analyzed aggregate data from the issuer and applied stresses that are commensurate with a 'AAA' rating scenario to estimate the level of defaults. In doing so, we use the following measures: our risk measure, the

weighted-average foreclosure frequency (WAFF), and our loss estimate measure, the weighted-average loss severity (WALS).

The cover pool comprises residential (88.57%) and nonresidential or commercial mortgage loans (11.43%) after adjustments. The portfolio composition has remained stable since 2017, with relatively high seasoned loans and decreasing ratios of restructured mortgages.

The portfolio WAFF has decreased to 28.26% from 40.98% mainly due to the material decrease in the share of restructured loans, the cover pool now being concentrated in lower LTV buckets, and the lower industry concentration in the commercial part of the portfolio. The WALS has increased to 39.49% from 34.10% mainly due to the higher overvaluation of the Spanish real estate market.

Tables 3 to 7 summarize the cover pool's composition, and table 8 summarizes the results of our cash flow analysis.

Table 3

Cover Pool Composition					
Asset type	--As of June 30, 2019--		--As of June 30, 2017--		
	Value (mil. €)	Percentage of cover pool (%)	Value (mil. €)	Percentage of cover pool (%)	
As reported by the issuer					
Residential	61,094	87.56	51,512	86.34	
Non-residential	8,682	12.44	8,153	13.66	
Total	69,776	100.00	59,665	100.00	
After our adjustments*					
Residential	57,817	88.57	49,179	88.09	
Non-residential	7,464	11.43	6,649	11.91	
Total	65,281	100.00	55,828	100.00	

*Balance net of defaults, land, employee loans, and loans granted outside Spain.

Table 4

	--As of June 30, 2019--			--As of June 30, 2017--		
	Residential	Non-residential	Total	Residential	Non-residential	Total
Weighted-average original LTV ratio (%)	70.19	N/A	70.19	72.97	N/A	72.97
Weighted-average LTV ratio (%) reported	57.47	61.83	57.97	56.27	69.78	57.88
Weighted-average LTV ratio (%)*	59.10	55.20	58.65	65.24	72.19	66.07
Weighted-average loan seasoning (months)	116.30	76.77	111.78	100.00	91.00	98.93
Loans in arrears over 30 days to 90 days (%)§	1.23	1.30	1.24	1.27	1.48	1.30
Loans in arrears over 90 days to 360 days (%)§	0.84	0.95	0.85	0.79	1.76	0.91
Defaults (%)	3.67	10.88	4.49	3.07	13.79	4.35
Restructured (%)	8.63	26.75	8.37	21.96	38.00	23.87

Table 4

Key Credit Metrics (cont.)						
	--As of June 30, 2019--			--As of June 30, 2017--		
	Residential	Non-residential	Total	Residential	Non-residential	Total
Second or higher-ranking mortgage (%)	2.20	N/A	1.95	3.11	N/A	2.74
Second homes (%)	6.69	N/A	5.93	8.56	N/A	7.54
Buy-to-let and other occupancy type(%)	9.52	N/A	8.43	3.23	N/A	2.85
Self employed (%)	15.39	N/A	13.63	16.39	N/A	14.44
Non-Spanish citizens (%)	2.04	N/A	1.81	1.49	N/A	1.31
Credit analysis results:						
WAFF (%)	24.15	60.10	28.26	38.08	62.41	40.98
WALS (%)	38.68	45.77	39.49	30.71	59.19	34.10

*LTV ratios are calculated after adjusting valuations for fluctuations in house prices. §Arrears % calculated net of defaults. LTV--Loan-to-value. N/A--Not applicable or not available. WAFF--Weighted-average foreclosure frequency. WALS--Weighted-average loss severity.

Table 5

Original Loan-To-Value Ratios		
(%)	--As of June 30, 2019--	--As of June 30, 2017--
	Residential (%)	Residential (%)
0-60	24.82	23.26
60-70	15.32	13.81
70-80	29.41	28.04
80-90	17.66	16.60
Above 90	12.79	18.28
Weighted-average loan-to-value ratio	70.19	72.97

Table 6

Loan Seasoning Distribution*						
	--As of June. 30, 2019--			--As of June. 30, 2017--		
	Residential (%)	Non-residential (%)	Total (%)	Residential (%)	Non-residential (%)	Total (%)
Less than 12 months	0.44	6.22	1.10	0.23	7.39	1.08
12-24	0.35	2.56	0.60	0.24	2.39	0.50
24-48	1.22	8.37	2.04	1.01	6.57	1.67
48-72	2.23	10.52	3.18	1.89	12.13	3.11
72-96	3.36	10.47	4.17	3.00	12.46	4.13
96-132	6.36	19.51	7.86	6.37	17.26	7.67
132-168	8.41	15.34	9.20	8.33	14.90	9.11
>168	77.63	27.01	71.84	78.92	26.90	72.72

*Seasoning refers to the elapsed loan term.

Geographic Distribution Of Loan Assets

Table 7

Top five concentrations	--As of June 30, 2019--			--As of June 30, 2017--		
	Residential (%)	Non-residential (%)	Total (%)	Residential (%)	Non-residential (%)	Total (%)
Madrid	27.49	21.05	26.75	33.81	28.09	33.13
Valencia	15.88	15.85	15.88	15.91	18.70	16.24
Catalonia	12.54	12.60	12.55	14.87	15.57	14.95
Andalucia	13.70	14.58	13.80	9.20	7.61	9.01
Castilla-La Mancha	4.24	2.51	4.04	5.44	2.91	5.14
Others	26.15	33.41	26.98	20.77	27.12	21.53
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 8

Collateral Uplift Metrics

	September 2019 Analysis	November 2017 Analysis
Asset WAM (years)	19.70	20.14
Liability WAM (years)	5.68	7.13
Available credit enhancement (%)*	155.3	119.92
'AAA' credit risk (%)	31.55	39.15
Required credit enhancement for first notch of collateral uplift (%)	31.55	32.47
Required credit enhancement for second notch of collateral uplift (%)	36.57	39.15
Required credit enhancement for third notch of collateral uplift (%)	39.08	47.22
Target credit enhancement for maximum uplift (%)	41.59	49.92
Potential collateral-based uplift (notches)	4	4
Adjustment for liquidity (Y/N)	N	N
Adjustment for committed overcollateralization (Y/N)	Y	Y
Collateral support uplift (notches)	3	3

WAM--Weighted-average maturity. *After our adjustments.

Our analysis of the covered bond program's payment structure shows that cash flows from the cover pool assets would be sufficient, at the given rating, to pay the covered bond holders interest and principal.

The covered bonds are exposed to refinancing risk because asset and liability mismatches are not addressed by structural features, such as pass-through liabilities or committed liquidity arrangements. For assessing market value risk, we applied a target asset spread in our cash flow analysis. We applied a spread of 734 basis points, which reflects the mix of residential and nonresidential loans in the mortgage book, as outlined in "Assessments For Target Asset Spreads According To Our Covered Bonds Criteria," published on Oct. 16, 2018.

By applying our credit and cash flow stresses, we calculate a target credit enhancement of 41.59% (based on asset information provided as of June 30, 2019 and liabilities as of September 2019). This is lower than in our 2017 analysis (49.92%) mainly due to the revision of our prepayment rate assumptions before and after the recession in line with our covered bonds criteria guidance, the lower expected losses on the cover pool, and the decrease in the average coupon on the covered bonds. The target credit enhancement is below the available credit enhancement after we made our

adjustment of 155.30%.

As a result, and because there is an active secondary market for Spanish mortgages such as the ones in the cover pool, the program can potentially benefit from up to four notches of collateral-based uplift from the JRL under our covered bonds criteria.

We have lowered the potential collateral-based uplift to three notches due to the issuer's lack of commitment to maintain overcollateralization at a level that supports the ratings on the covered bonds.

However, we have not adjusted to account for liquidity not being covered for the next six months because we have already adjusted for refinancing risk not being fully covered by limiting the maximum number of notches of uplift above the unsolicited long-term sovereign rating on Spain.

As a result, the target credit enhancement is also the level commensurate with the three notches of collateral-based uplift above the JRL of 'a'. As the available credit enhancement is higher than the target credit enhancement, the maximum achievable rating for these covered bonds is under our covered bonds criteria is 'aa'.

Counterparty risk

We analyze counterparty risk by applying our current counterparty criteria (see "Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions," published on March 8, 2019).

The program's only counterparty is Bankia, in its role as bank account provider.

In our view, the Spanish legal framework mitigates bank account risk and commingling risk in covered bond transactions when the issuer is the bank account provider. As such, counterparty risk does not constrain our ratings on Bankia's mortgage covered bonds.

Sovereign risk

Under our structured finance ratings above the sovereign criteria, covered bonds issued in a jurisdiction that is within a monetary union that do not include structural coverage of refinancing need over a 12-month period to exhibit moderate sensitivity to country risk (see "Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions," published on Jan. 30, 2019). As a result, we can rate these covered bonds up to four notches above the sovereign rating. This is provided that the covered bonds have sufficient overcollateralization to withstand a sovereign default.

Bankia's mortgage covered bonds' available overcollateralization is sufficient to cover our sovereign default stress scenario. Therefore, considering our unsolicited current long-term sovereign foreign currency rating on Spain, the covered bonds can achieve a rating of up to 'AA+' under our structured finance sovereign risk criteria.

Related Criteria

- Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019
- Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 30, 2019
- Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of European Residential Loans, Aug. 4, 2017

- Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Covered Bond Ratings Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, June 30, 2015
- Analyzing European Commercial Real Estate Collateral In European Covered Bonds, March 31, 2015
- Covered Bonds Criteria, Dec. 9, 2014
- Credit Stability Criteria, May 3, 2010
- Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

- Spain Ratings Raised To 'A/A-1' From 'A-/A-2' On Economic Resilience; Outlook Stable, Sept. 20, 2019
- Global Covered Bond Insights Q3 2019, Sept. 10, 2019
- Global Covered Bond Characteristics And Rating Summary Q3 2019, Sept. 10, 2019
- Bankia S.A., July 3, 2019
- S&P Global Ratings' Covered Bonds Primer, June 20, 2019
- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment: Spain, Feb. 6, 2019
- Spanish Covered Bond Market Insights, Jan. 10, 2019
- Assessments For Jurisdictional Support According To Our Covered Bonds Criteria, Oct. 16, 2018
- Assessments For Target Asset Spreads According To Our Covered Bonds Criteria, Oct. 16, 2018
- Glossary Of Covered Bond Terms, April 27, 2018

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.