

16 Sep 2020 | Affirmation

Fitch Affirms Bankia at 'BBB'; Outlook Negative

Fitch Ratings-Barcelona-16 September 2020:

Fitch Ratings has affirmed Bankia S.A.'s Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB', Viability Rating (VR) at 'bbb' and Short-Term IDR at 'F2' and removed them from Rating Watch Negative. The Outlook is Negative.

A full list of rating actions is below.

The affirmation primarily reflects our view that Bankia's ratings are not immediately at risk from the impact of the economic downturn from the pandemic, thanks to its sufficient capital buffers which provide it with headroom to absorb the likely reduction in profitability and higher credit risks.

However, the Negative Outlook reflects our view that risks remain tilted to the downside in the medium term, especially if the recession proves deeper or the recovery weaker than our forecasts. In this case, Bankia's ratings might come under pressure from higher-than-anticipated inflows of new impaired loans, weaker revenue generation and larger credit losses, ultimately resulting in greater-than-expected capital erosion.

The rating actions do not consider any impact of a potential merger with Caixabank, S.A. On 3 September, Bankia and Caixabank announced that they had initiated merger talks. The discussions so far cover the structure of the potential deal as an equity swap, and a non-disclosure agreement for the exchange of information for due diligence purposes. Fitch will wait until the full terms of any merger deal are known before assessing whether to take any rating action on Bankia.

Key Rating Drivers

IDRs, VR AND SENIOR DEBT

Bankia's ratings are underpinned by its capitalisation, owing to adequate buffers over regulatory requirements and reduced capital encumbrance by unreserved problem assets. Bankia's well-established national retail franchise supports a large customer deposit base, which provides funding stability. Asset quality and profitability are relative rating weaknesses and are expected to deteriorate during the economic downturn.

Fitch expects GDP to contract by a sizeable 13.2% in 2020 followed by a recovery in 2021. Furthermore, there are downside risks to these economic forecasts, including the risk that further containment measures or lockdowns are implemented, causing further shocks to the economy. While the Spanish authorities have taken several fiscal support measures for the private sector that should be positive for Bankia as for other Spanish banks, these measures are due to be lifted over time. The implications for the financial sector will therefore likely only become more apparent in 2021.

Bankia's capitalisation is maintained with satisfactory buffers over regulatory minimum requirements and ratios are above domestic peers. At end-June 2020, the regulatory common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio was 14.3% (13.3% on a fully loaded basis). The latter benefits from the Board of Directors' decision in March 2020 to suspend its plans to distribute EUR2.5 billion excess capital to the bank's shareholders, as originally envisaged in the 2018-2020 Strategic Plan, and to suspend dividend payments in 2020. In addition to other expected positive regulatory impacts, the bank received regulatory approval to use IRB models for the calculation of risk-weighted assets on its mortgage loan portfolio, which should add 160bp to the fully loaded CET1 capital ratio. Capital encumbrance from unreserved problem assets significantly reduced to 41% at end-June 2020 from 55% at end-2018.

Bankia enters the economic downturn with a problem assets ratio (including impaired loans and net foreclosed assets) of 6.1% at end-1H20, which is well below the bank's peak of over 16.0% at end-2013 but weaker than domestic peers and still high by international standards. The reserve coverage ratio for problem assets was 50% at end-June 2020. We expect inflows of new impaired loans to increase towards end-2020 and more significantly in 2021 as borrower support measures are lifted and asset quality pressures arise as the effect of the crisis becomes more apparent. However, our asset quality assessment considers Bankia's share of retail mortgages (53% of total loans at end-June 2020), which is above the peer average and that performed better during previous crises. We expect this to partially shield the bank from asset quality deterioration. Nonetheless, Bankia remains sensitive to the unemployment level, which we expect to rise to 19.3% in 2021 from an already high 14.1%.

Bankia's profitability is modest by international standards, weighed down by large low-risk and low-yielding residential mortgage lending and a sizeable portfolio of zero-yielding SAREB bonds. We expect earning pressure to further intensify over the next two years as a result of high loan impairment charges (LICs) and subdued business volumes. In 1H20 Bankia booked an additional EUR310 million impairment charges (largely LICs) related to the coronavirus crisis, bringing the annualised LICs/gross loans ratio to around 70bp. Our assessment of profitability assumes that earnings will recover, albeit remaining at structurally low levels once the crisis abates, as a result of Bankia's strategy to further diversify its business mix, expand fee-based business and further

cost-cutting efforts. However, our negative view on this factor reflects the downside risk to this assumption in case of persistent economic pressure.

Bankia's funding profile has improved in the past few years following loan deleveraging and steady deposit growth. Its large, stable and granular retail deposit base almost fully covered gross loans and accounted for 64% of total funding at end-June 2020. ECB funding utilisation accounted for 12% of total funding and the use of ECB's targeted longer-term refinancing operations (TLTRO) largely funds SAREB bonds. Bankia's liquidity position is comfortable, supported by large investments in high quality liquid securities, and given its manageable wholesale funding maturities. The bank has good access to the debt capital markets and the capacity to generate additional liquidity in a short period, if necessary.

Bankia's Short-Term IDR of 'F2' is the higher of the two possibilities for a 'BBB' Long-Term IDR under our criteria and is based on our assessment of the group's funding and liquidity, which largely reflects ample liquidity buffers against the bank's short-term maturities.

Bankia's long-term senior preferred notes are rated in line with the bank's Long-Term IDR. This reflects Fitch's view that the likelihood of default on the senior preferred notes is in line with that of the bank and that recoveries are average.

Long-term senior non-preferred notes are rated one notch below the bank's Long-Term IDR. This reflects the prospects of below-average recoveries arising from the possible use of senior preferred debt to meet resolution buffer requirements, and the combined buffer of Additional Tier 1, Tier 2 and senior non-preferred debt being unlikely to exceed 10% of risk-weighted assets.

SUPPORT RATING AND SUPPORT RATING FLOOR

Bankia's Support Rating (SR) of '5' and Support Rating Floor (SRF) of 'No Floor' reflect Fitch's belief that senior creditors of the bank can no longer rely on receiving full extraordinary support from the sovereign in the event that the bank becomes non-viable. The EU's Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive and the Single Resolution Mechanism for eurozone banks provide a framework for resolving banks that is likely to require senior creditors to participate in losses, instead of, or ahead of a bank receiving sovereign support.

SUBORDINATED DEBT

Subordinated lower Tier 2 debt is rated two notches below the VR for loss severity, reflecting poor recoveries arising from its subordinated status.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

The Negative Outlook on Bankia reflects medium-term risks to its ratings from the coronavirus outbreak.

The most immediate downside sensitivity for Bankia's ratings relates to the economic and financial market fallout arising from the coronavirus crisis. The bank's ratings could be downgraded if there is a more substantial and prolonged deterioration in profitability than we currently envisage, ultimately eroding the fully-loaded CET1 ratio below the 12% target or capital encumbrance to unreserved problem assets raises to above 50%, without credible prospects to be restored. These circumstances could stem, for example, from a further downward revision of Fitch's expectations for the Spanish economy, triggering higher-than-expected asset quality deterioration

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

The Outlook could be revised to Stable if the bank's operating environment stabilises and the bank successfully manages the challenges arising from the economic downturn, limiting downside risks to its asset quality and profitability, while maintaining current capital levels.

Rating upside is currently limited. In the long-term, an upgrade would require improved prospects for the operating environment and a meaningful and sustained improvement of core profitability, combined with a relevant improvement in asset quality while maintaining capital ratios at current levels.

In addition to these sensitivities, if a merger agreement between Bankia and Caixabank is reached, upon the disclosure of the details of the agreement, and subject to our assessment of execution risks, including receipt of regulatory approvals, we will take rating action based on the combined entity's financial metrics and Fitch's medium-term expectations. The combined entity would have total assets of about EUR665 billion, with Caixabank accounting for two-thirds and Bankia one-third and would become the largest domestic bank by scale and market share by some margin.

SENIOR NON-PREFERRED AND SENIOR PREFERRED DEBT

Senior debt ratings are primarily sensitive to changes in Bankia's IDRs. In addition, we would upgrade long-term senior preferred and non-preferred debt by one notch if the size of the combined buffer of junior and senior non-preferred debt is expected to sustainably exceed 10% of RWAs or if resolution buffers are expected to be met with senior non-preferred debt and more junior instruments.

SUBORDINATED DEBT

Subordinated debt is primarily sensitive to a change in Bankia's VR.

SUPPORT RATING AND SUPPORT RATING FLOOR

An upgrade of the SR and upward revision of the SRF would be contingent on a positive change in the sovereign's propensity to support Bankia. While not impossible, this is highly unlikely, in Fitch's view.

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit [<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>]

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

The highest level of ESG credit relevance, if present, is a score of 3. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or to the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

Bankia S.A.; Long Term Issuer Default Rating; Affirmed; BBB; RO:Neg
; Short Term Issuer Default Rating; Affirmed; F2; RW: Off
; Viability Rating; Affirmed; bbb; RW: Off
; Support Rating; Affirmed; 5
; Support Rating Floor; Affirmed; NF

---subordinated; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; BB+; RW: Off
---Senior preferred; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; BBB; RW: Off
---Senior non-preferred; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; BBB-; RW: Off
---Senior preferred; Short Term Rating; Affirmed; F2; RW: Off

Contacts:

Primary Rating Analyst

Cristina Torrella Fajas,

Senior Director

+34 93 323 8405

Fitch Ratings Espana. S.A.U.

Av. Diagonal 601

Barcelona 08028

Secondary Rating Analyst

Fernando Sanchez Garcia-Yanes,

Associate Director

+34 91 076 1987

Committee Chairperson

Francesca Vasciminno,

Senior Director

+39 02 879087 225

Media Relations: Louisa Williams, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 2452, Email:

louisa.williams@thefitchgroup.com

Pilar Perez, Barcelona, Tel: +34 93 323 8414, Email: pilar.perez@fitchratings.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

[Bank Rating Criteria \(pub. 28 Feb 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

Additional Disclosures

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and

other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to

be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.