

29 May 2020 | Rating Watch

## Correct: Fitch Places Bankia CVB on RWN; Revises Outlook on 5 Spanish CVB Programmes to Negative

---

Fitch Ratings-Madrid-29 May 2020:

This rating action commentary replaces the version published on 8 April 2020 to correct the relied-upon OC ratio for Bankia CH and the solicitation status disclosures for Santander CH. All other content is as of the original publication date.

Fitch Ratings has placed Bankia S.A.'s (BBB/RWN/F2) Spanish mortgage covered bonds (Cedulas Hipotecarias, CH) on Rating Watch Negative (RWN). Fitch has also revised the Outlooks on four CH programmes and one public sector covered bond programme (Cedulas Territoriales, CT) to Negative from Stable. The rating actions follow corresponding rating action on the Long-Term Issuer Default Ratings (IDR). A full list of rating actions is below.

### KEY RATING DRIVERS

#### Mirroring Bank Rating Actions

The covered bond ratings are directly linked to their respective IDRs. Therefore, the RWN and Outlook revisions on the covered bonds mirror the corresponding rating actions on the issuers including Bankia S.A.'s IDR placed on RWN, and the Outlooks on Bankoia S.A. (A-/Negative/F1) Cajamar Caja Rural, S.C.C. (BB-/Negative/B), Banco Santander S.A. (A-/Negative/F2) and Union de Creditos Inmobiliarios S.A. (UCI, BBB/Negative/F2) revised to Negative from Stable. Resolution of the RWN on Bankia CH is directly linked to the resolution of RWN on Bankia, which may take longer than six months.

See "Fitch Takes Action on 17 Spanish Banking Groups On Heightened Challenges from Coronavirus Outbreak", "Fitch Downgrades Grupo Cooperativo Cajamar to 'BB-' on Coronavirus Outbreak; Outlook Negative", "Fitch Revises Outlook on Union de Creditos Inmobiliarios to Negative; Affirms at 'BBB'", and "Fitch Revises Bankoia's, KAS', UBAF's Outlooks to Negative After Similar Action on Credit Agricole" published on 27, 31 March and 03 April 2020, respectively.

#### Unchanged Rating Uplifts

The rating actions do not have an impact on the uplifts assigned to any of the programmes.

Spanish covered bonds are rated between three and five notches above the respective Long-Term IDRs, consisting of an IDR uplift of one-to-two notches (one for Bankoa's and UCI's CH and two for the rest), a payment continuity uplift (PCU) of zero notches and a recovery uplift of two to three notches (three for Cajamar's CH and CT and two for the rest). The CH and CT ratings also consider the overcollateralisation (OC) protection for covered bond holders.

#### Ample OC Compensates for COVID-19 Stress

Fitch expects the coronavirus containment measures to negatively affect the performance of Spanish residential mortgages and commercial loans, as rapid job losses are taking place, consumer demand is suffering and various markets continue to be depressed. To reflect these adverse macroeconomic circumstances, Fitch's credit analysis of the cover pools has accommodated additional sensitivities linked to larger projected losses, which are fully compensated by the relied-upon OC ratios of the covered bond programmes (see Rating Sensitivities).

#### ESG - Governance

All CH and CT programmes have an Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Relevance Score of 5 for Transaction & Collateral Structure due to the absence of a dedicated liquidity protection mechanism in the Spanish covered bond framework. This explains the PCU of zero notches. Fitch rates the CH and CT programmes on a recovery basis approach and does not test for timely payment on the covered bonds.

#### RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

An upgrade of any of the banks' IDR would lead to an equivalent upgrade of the covered bonds, as there is a direct link between the CH and CT ratings and the respective Long-Term IDRs.

The introduction of dedicated liquidity protection mechanisms that effectively mitigate payment interruption risk on the covered bonds if the cover pool becomes the source of repayment. This is because CH and CTs have hard bullet redemptions while the cover assets gradually amortise. In this scenario, Fitch would be able to grant a PCU greater than zero notches currently.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

A downgrade of any of the banks' IDR would lead to an equivalent downgrade of the covered bonds, as there is a direct link between the CH and CT ratings and the respective Long-Term IDRs.

The covered bonds would be downgraded if the lifetime projected credit losses on the cover pools are not fully compensated by the OC Fitch relies upon. We view this scenario as highly remote considering the Spanish covered bonds framework, which offers ample OC cushions, defining the cover pool as all the non-securitised loans within the balance sheet of the issuer.

Fitch's breakeven OC for the assigned rating corresponds to the lifetime credit loss expectation on the cover pool. It can be affected by the profile of the cover assets relative to outstanding covered bonds, and it can change over time. The relied upon OC provides substantially more protection than the corresponding breakeven OC for the CH or CT rating:

Bankia CH: 18.0% 'A+' breakeven OC, 140.0% relied-upon OC;

Bankoa CH: 16.5% 'AA-' breakeven OC, 211.5% relied-upon OC;

Cajamar CH: 13.0% 'BBB+' breakeven OC, 108.0% relied-upon OC;

Cajamar CT: 17.0% 'BBB+' breakeven OC, 42.8% relied-upon OC:

Santander CH: 32.5% 'AA' breakeven OC, 91.5% relied-upon OC;

UCI CB: 48.5% 'A' breakeven OC, 698.0% relied-upon OC.

If the relied upon OC was lower than the 25% legal minimum OC in Spain, there would be a one-notch downgrade of Santander and UCI CH ratings. For the rest of the programmes, the ratings would remain unchanged as the 25% OC (for CH) and 42.8% (for CT) would still be above the breakeven OCs in the respective rating scenario.

#### Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

## CRITERIA VARIATION

Bankoa CH: Treatment of Obligor Concentration Risk: Fitch assumed a 100% loss severity on the top 10 obligors within the commercial and real estate sub pools, to account for the very large obligor concentration risk. This is a variation from the agency's Multi-Issuer Cédulas Hipotecarias Rating Criteria, which assumes portfolios to be highly granular not exposed to significant single obligor concentration risks. No rating impact is linked to this variation.

UCI CB: Treatment of Broker-Originated Loans: The foreclosure frequency adjustment for broker-originated loans was reduced to 120% from 150% as defined by Fitch's European RMBS Rating Criteria, supported by UCI's overhaul of its underwriting standards since 2009 and the performance data provided. This variation has no model-implied rating impact.

UCI CB: Treatment of Non-Performing Loans: Fitch assumed a 100% loss severity for loans in arrears over 90 days as a large proportion of these has been subject to multiple restructurings. This is a variation from Fitch's European RMBS criteria, which assigns an 84% foreclosure frequency floor expectation for loans in arrears over 90 days under the 'A' stress scenario. This has no model-implied rating impact.

## REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

## PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS

The CH and CT ratings are driven by the credit risk of the respective issuer as measured by its Long-Term IDR.

## ESG CONSIDERATIONS

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of 3 - ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the covered bond programmes, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the respective issuers.

Bankia SA, Mortgage CH, Bankoa SA, Mortgage CH, Cajamar Caja Rural SCC, Mortgage CH, Cajamar Caja Rural SCC, Public Sector CT, Banco Santander SA, Mortgage CH and Fondo de Titulización Structured CvBs UCI, Mortgage CH each have an ESG Relevance Score of 5 for Transaction & Collateral Structure due the absence of a dedicated liquidity protection mechanism in the Spanish CvB framework, which has a negative impact on the credit profile, and is highly relevant to the

rating, resulting in a payment continuity uplift of zero notches.

Bankia S.A.

----senior secured, Mortgage Covered Bonds, Cedulas Hipotecarias; Long Term Rating; Rating Watch On; A+; RW: Neg

Fondo de Titulizacion Structured Covered Bonds UCI

----senior secured, Mortgage Covered Bonds, Structured; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; RO:Neg

Cajamar Caja Rural, Sociedad Cooperativa de Credito

----senior secured, Public Sector Covered Bonds, Cedulas Territoriales; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; RO:Neg

----senior secured, Mortgage Covered Bonds, Cedulas Hipotecarias; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; RO:Neg

Bankoa S.A.

----senior secured, Mortgage Covered Bonds, Cedulas Hipotecarias; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; RO:Neg

Banco Santander, S.A.

----senior secured, Mortgage Covered Bonds, Cedulas Hipotecarias; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; RO:Neg

Contacts:

Primary Rating Analyst

Beatriz Gomez Crespo,

Associate Director

+34 91 702 5775

Fitch Ratings Spain - Madrid

Paseo de la Castellana 31 9ºB

Madrid 28046

Primary Rating Analyst

Pablo Rubio,

Analyst

+34 91 076 1984

Fitch Ratings Spain - Madrid

Paseo de la Castellana 31 9ºB

Madrid 28046

Secondary Rating Analyst  
Ricardo Garcia Carmona,  
Director  
+34 91 702 5772

Secondary Rating Analyst  
Beatriz Gomez Crespo,  
Associate Director  
+34 91 702 5775

Secondary Rating Analyst  
Pablo Rubio,  
Analyst  
+34 91 076 1984

Committee Chairperson  
Juan David Garcia,  
Senior Director  
+34 91 702 5774

Media Relations: Athos Larkou, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 1549, Email:  
athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com  
Pilar Perez, Barcelona, Tel: +34 93 323 8414, Email: pilar.perez@fitchratings.com

Additional information is available on [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)

### **Applicable Criteria**

[Bank Rating Criteria \(pub. 28 Feb 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Covered Bonds Rating Criteria \(pub. 24 Jul 2019\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Covered Bonds and CDOs Public Entities' Asset Analysis Rating Criteria \(pub. 14 Oct 2019\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Multi-Issuer Cédulas Hipotecarias Rating Criteria \(pub. 10 Dec 2019\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Country Risk Rating Criteria \(pub. 06 Feb 2020\)](#)

[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Interest Rate Stresses Rating Criteria \(pub. 06 Dec 2019\)](#)

### **Additional Disclosures**

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party

verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.



For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

---

**ENDORSEMENT POLICY** - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.